

# Math 246A Lecture 28 Notes

Daniel Raban

December 3, 2018

## 1 Harnack's Principle and Subharmonic Functions

### 1.1 Harnack's principle

**Theorem 1.1** (Harnack's principle). *Let  $\Omega_n$  be a domain for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a domain. Let  $u_n : \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be harmonic. Suppose that:*

1. *For all compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ , there exists  $n_K$  such that if  $n \geq n_K$ , then  $K \subseteq \Omega_n$ .*
2. *For all compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ , there exists  $n'_K$  such that if  $n > n'_K$ , then  $u_{n+1} \geq u_n$  on  $K$ .*

*Then either*

1.  *$u_n \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly on  $K$  for all compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ .*
2. *There exists a harmonic function  $u$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  uniformly on all compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Case 1: There exists some  $z_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $u_n(z_0) \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that  $\overline{B(z_0, 2R)} \subseteq \Omega$ . Then Harnack's inequality implies that  $u_n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on  $\overline{B(z_0, R)}$ . Note that  $\{z \in \Omega : u_n(z) \rightarrow \infty\}$  is nonempty and open. It is also closed. By the connectedness of  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega = \{z \in \Omega : u_n(z) \rightarrow \infty\}$ . This is the first conclusion.

Case 2: For all  $z_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\sup_n u_n(z_0) < \infty$ . Then for compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ ,  $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^N B(z_j, R_j)$ , where  $\overline{B(z_j, 2R_j)} \subseteq \Omega$ . Then there exists some  $N_1$  such that if  $n \geq N_1$ , then  $u_n \leq M$  on  $\tilde{K} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B(z_i, (3/2)R_i)} \supseteq K$  by Harnack's inequality. On  $B(z_j, 2R_j)$ ,  $u_n = \operatorname{Re}(F_n)$  where  $\operatorname{Im}(F_n)(z_j) = 0$ , and  $F_n$  is holomorphic. Let  $f_n = e^{F_n}$ ; then  $|f_n| \leq e^M$ , and  $|f_n| \geq e^{-M}$ . There is a subsequence  $f_{n_j}$  converging to some holomorphic  $g$  on compact sets, so  $u_n \rightarrow \log |g|$ , where  $g \neq 0$ . So  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow u$  on  $K$ .  $\square$

### 1.2 Subharmonic functions

We start with an analogy.

**Definition 1.1.** Suppose  $u : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^2$ . We say that  $u$  is **linear** if  $u(z) = \alpha z + \beta$ .

Then  $u$  is linear iff  $u(ta + (1-t)b) = tu(a) + (1-t)u(b)$ . This is if and only if  $u_{xx} = 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $u$  is **convex** if  $u(ta + (1-t)b) \leq tu(a) + (1-t)u(b)$ .

If  $u \in C^2$ , then this is equivalent to  $u_{xx} \geq 0$ .

There is some merit in relaxing an equality to get an inequality. Subharmonic functions will be the same situation but with harmonic instead of linear.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain and let  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then  $u$  is **subharmonic** if when the following conditions hold:

1.  $\overline{B(z_0, R)} \subseteq \Omega$ ,
2.  $v$  is continuous on  $\overline{B(z_0, R)}$ ,
3.  $v$  is harmonic on  $B(z_0, R)$ ,
4.  $u \leq v$  on  $\partial B(z_0, R)$ ,

then  $u \leq v$  on  $B(z_0, R)$ .

**Example 1.1.** If  $u$  is harmonic, then  $u$  is subharmonic.

**Example 1.2.** Let  $u \in C^2$ , and let  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} \geq 0$ . Then  $u$  is subharmonic. Suppose  $u - v$  has a local max at  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , where  $v$  is harmonic. It is an exercise in calculus to show that  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} < 0$ . Look at the Taylor expansion around  $z_0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose  $u$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ . Then  $u$  is subharmonic if and only if for all  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , there exists  $R(z_0) > 0$  such that for  $0 < R < R(z_0)$ ,

$$u(z_0) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + Re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Equality here is the mean value property for harmonic functions. The proof is just the maximum principle.

**Example 1.3.** If  $u$  is subharmonic and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\lambda u$  is subharmonic.

**Example 1.4.** If  $u_1, u_2$  are subharmonic, then  $u_1 + u_2$  is subharmonic.

**Example 1.5.** If  $u_1, u_2$  are subharmonic, then  $\max(u_1, u_2)$  is subharmonic.

**Example 1.6** (regularization). Let  $\overline{B(z_0, R)} \subseteq \Omega$ , let  $u$  be subharmonic in  $\Omega$ , let  $P_z = \frac{R^2 - |z - z_0|^2}{|Re^{i\theta} - (z - z_0)|^2}$ , and let

$$U(z) = \begin{cases} u(z) & z \in \Omega \setminus B(z_0, R) \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} P_z(\theta) u(z_0 + Re^{i\theta}) d\theta & \text{on } B \end{cases}$$

Then  $U$  is continuous, subharmonic,  $U \geq u$ , and  $U$  is harmonic on  $B$ .

**Example 1.7.** Let  $f \in H(\Omega)$  not be identically zero. Extend the definition of subharmonic to the case where  $u : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is upper semicontinuous. Then  $\log |f(z)|$  is subharmonic because

$$\log |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(z_0 + Re^{i\theta})| d\theta,$$

where  $\overline{B(z_0, R)} \subseteq \Omega$ . This is **Jensen's inequality**.